UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,474	07/11/2001	Norman Wesley Gimbert	13DV-14215	9339	
John S. Beulick	7590 05/03/2007	EXAMINER			
Armstrong Teasdale LLP One metropolitan Sq., Suite 2600			ABEL JALII	ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN	
St. Louis, MO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	٠	I	2165		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/903,474	GIMBERT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Neveen Abel-Jalil	2165	

		Neveen Abel-Jalil	2165	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress
HE REE	PLY FILED <u>12 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
l. ⊠ The this pla a R	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notequest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🔯 b) 🗌	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final reject	on.
nave beer under 37 (set forth in may reduc	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of excER 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring in ally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in coming the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extellior of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
(a) (b) (c)	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.7)	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); otter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
5.	ne amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be an-allowable claim(s).):		
7. Fo hov The Cla Cla Cla Cla	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is prosestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to: aim(s) rejected: 1-18. aim(s) withdrawn from consideration: VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ill be entered and an	explanation of
3. 🔲 The	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ent sho 10. 🔲 Ti	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
	ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER he request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. 🗌 N	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
. 5. 🗀 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ne	ven Abel	Tabl

The newly added claim language of "navigational structure substantially identical to the first web site navigational structure" found in Independent claims 1, 6, and 13 raises new issues that require further consideration and/or search.